

United States Patent and Trademark Office

my

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/056,312	01/24/2002	Daryl S. Meredith	TN-1488	9558
7:	590 11/21/2003		EXAM	INER
Adan Ayala, Esq.			HAMILTON, ISAAC N	
Black & Decke	r Inc.			
701 E. Joppa Road, TW-199			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Towson, MD 21286			3724	13
		DATE MAILED, 11/01/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/056,312	MEREDITH ET AL.				
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Isaac N Hamilton	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:	aly					
Claim(s) objected to:	Allan N. Shoap					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 2</u> .	upervisory Patent Examiner					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>3-24</u> .	Group 3700	ho Evenines				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)





Application No. 10/056,312

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment, "the intermediate gear is always meshing with the first and second gears," raises new issues. The combination of Grobbelaar with applicant's prior art teaches an idler gear that is used in the transmission of power from a motor to a miter saw. The combination shows the instant invention and the fact that idler gears are used in saw assemblies is well known as further evidenced by references Fiala (5,159,870) and Chaconas (4,433,589).